

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/669,185	09/23/2003	Justin Won	STL11321 2611		
7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER			
David K. Lucente			OLSON, JASON C		
Seagate Techno	ology LLC				
	perty- COL2LGL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
389 Disc Drive			2651		
Longmont, CO 80503			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/669,185	WON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason C. Olson	2651	

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cxammer	Art Unit					
	Jason C. Olson	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			beçause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		oducina or cimplifuina	the issues for				
, appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially to	saucing or simplifying	tile issues to				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompilant Ameriament	(I I OL-024).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 13-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 1. The affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filing entered to the filed after the date of filed after the	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
		•					

Continuation from 11.

The arguments presented by the applicant have been fully considered but fail to place the application in condition for allowance

Regarding independent claims 1, 13, and 21, the applicant argues that Codilian fails to teach the claimed invention because he discloses approximating error by the standard deviation of averages, not individuals. The applicant goes on to state that Codilian is silent regarding basing an RRO estimate on data from one revolution. The examiner disagrees. In Figure 6A, Codilian specifically shows RRO measurement based on one disk revolution. The fact that the standard deviation of an RRO measurement based on one revolution is relatively larger than the standard deviation of an averaged RRO measurement based on multiple revolutions is irrelevent. Codilian uses the variable "N" to define the first number of disk revolutions and no where in the specification is "N" limited to greater than 1.

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2